

Q1.5 Course staff at Stanford's CS155 accidentally released their project with solutions in it! In order to conceal what happened, they quickly re-released the project and didn't mention what had happened in the hope that no one would notice. This is an example of not following which security principle?

- Security is economics
- Know your threat model
- Don't rely on security through obscurity
- Least privilege
- Separation of responsibility
- None of these

Q2 *x86 Potpourri (Extended)*

(0 points)

Q2.1 In normal (non-malicious) programs, the EBP is *always* greater than or equal to the ESP.

- True False

Q2.2 Arguments are pushed onto the stack in the same order they are listed in the function signature.

- True False

Q2.3 A function always knows ahead of time how much stack space it needs to allocate.

- True False

Q2.4 Step 10 ("Restore the old eip (rip).") is often done via the `ret` instruction.

- True False

Q2.5 In GDB, you run `x/wx &arr` and see this output:

```
0xffffffff62a: 0xffffffff70c
```

True or False: `0xffffffff62a` is the address of `arr` and `0xffffffff70c` is the value stored at `arr`.

- True False

Q2.6 Which steps of the x86 calling convention are executed by the *caller*?

Q2.7 Which steps of the x86 calling convention are executed by the *callee*?

Q2.8 What does the `nop` instruction do?

Q2.9 Consider the following C code and some of its assembly:

```
void foo(int bar) {  
    // Implementation not shown  
}  
  
void main() {  
    int bar = 0;  
    foo(bar);  
}
```

```
1 0x08001008 : -----  
2 0x0800100c : call foo  
3 0x08001010 : -----
```

Fill in the blanks for the instructions surrounding `call foo` in the assembly for `main`.

Q2.10 EvanBot manages to set the value of the SFP of `foo` to `0x00000000` before `foo` returns. What is most likely to happen next?

- The program will crash immediately, before returning from `foo`.
- The program will crash when attempting to return from `foo`.
- The program will crash when attempting to return from `main`.
- The program will finish executing without crashing.

Q2.11

```
RIP of main  
pop %eip  
SFP of foo
```

EvanBot has edited his program stack to look like the above. They reason that when `foo` returns, "pop %eip" will be popped into the EIP, which is then executed to pop "RIP of main" into the EIP. Note that the value "pop %eip" on the stack represents the actual value, not a variable name or pointer.

Is this correct? Explain why or why not.

Q3 Terminated

(0 points)

Consider the following C code excerpt.

```
1 typedef struct {
2     char first [16];
3     char second [16];
4 } message;
5
6 void main() {
7     message msg;
8
9     fgets(msg.first , 17, stdin);
10
11     for (int i = 0; i < 16; i++) {
12         msg.second[i] = msg.first[i];
13     }
14
15     printf("%s\n" , msg);
16     fflush(stdout);
17 }
```

Q3.1 Fill in the following stack diagram, assuming that the program is paused at **Line 9**.

Stack



Q3.2 Now, draw arrows on the stack diagram denoting where the ESP and EBP would point if the code were executed until a breakpoint set on **line 14**.

You run GDB once, and discover that the address of the RIP of main is 0xffffcd84.

Q3.3 What is the address of `msg.first`?

Q3.4 Here is the `fgets` documentation for reference:

```
char *fgets(char *s, int size, FILE *stream);
```

`fgets()` reads in at most one less than `size` characters from `stream` and stores them into the buffer pointed to by `s`. Reading stops after an EOF or a newline. If a newline is read, it is stored into the buffer. A terminating null byte (`'\0'`) is stored after the last character in the buffer.

Evanbot passes in "hello" to the `fgets` call and sees the program print "hello". He expected it to print "hellohello" since the first half was copied into the second half. Why is this not the case?

Q3.5 Evanbot passes in "hellohellohello!" (16 bytes) to the `fgets` call and sees the program print "hellohellohello!hellohellohello!oaNWActYKJjflv5wI ..." (not real output). The program seems to have correctly copied the message, but EvanBot wonders why there seems to be garbage output at the end. Why is this the case, and how can they fix their program?